

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,010	07/28/2003	Daniel Shapiro	109909-133501	7626
25943	7590 01/13/2006		EXAMINER	
	E, WILLIAMSON & WY	PHAM, TUAN		
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND	O, OR 97204	2643	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/629,010	SHAPIRO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TUAN A. PHAM	2643		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	·		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-34 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-11,13,15-18,22-29 and 33 is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 30 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. Illowed.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/629,010

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 is improper because it is not clear that claim 15 depend on claim 1, but claim 1 has been cancel. For the purpose of the examination, Examiner assumes that claim 15 is independ claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2643

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. <u>Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

<u>Murray et al. (Pub. No.: US 2003/0087664, hereinafter, "Murray") in view of Pine</u>

(U.S. Patent No.: 6,958,777).

Regarding claim 30, Murray teaches a wireless mobile communication device, a method of operation comprising: entering a first functional mode of operation (see col.1, [0009-0013], cellular mode); facilitating user communication with another user of another communication device, using the wireless mobile communication device, during the first functional mode of operation (see col.1, [0009-0013]); entering a second functional mode of operation (see col.1, [0009-0013], dispatch mode); and emitting at least one light pulse from the wireless mobile communication device, during the second functional mode of operation (see col.1, [0009-0015]).

It should be noticed that Murray fails teach integrating a first, a second, and a third light pulse of a red, a green, and a blue spectrum respectively to form one of the at least one light pulse. However, Pine teaches such features (see col.2, ln.22-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Pine into view of Murray in order to save space for the device and the device can be make smaller.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,010 Page 4

Art Unit: 2643

5. <u>Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

<u>Murray et al. (Pub. No.: US 2003/0087664, hereinafter, "Murray") in view of Naghi</u>

et al. (U.S. Pub. No.: 2003/0072169).

Regarding claim 34, Murray teaches a wireless mobile communication device, a method of operation comprising: entering a first functional mode of operation (see col.1, [0009-0013], cellular mode); facilitating user communication with another user of another communication device, using the wireless mobile communication device, during the first functional mode of operation (see col.1, [0009-0013]); entering a second functional mode of operation (see col.1, [0009-0013], dispatch mode); and emitting at least one light pulse from the wireless mobile communication device, during the second functional mode of operation (see col.1, [0009-0015]).

It should be noticed that Murray fails teach comprises narrowly diffusing the at least one light pulse being emitted. However, Naghi teaches such features (see col.3, [0029]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Naghi into view of Murray in order to avoid the hot spot as suggested by Naghi at col.3, [0029].

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-11, 13, 15-18, 22-29, and 33 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan A. Pham** whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499 and

IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE

CALL Customer Service at (571) 272-2600 FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2643 January 5, 2006 Examiner

Tuan Pham